## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,800	07/18/2003	Roman Turovskiy	H-US-00497	3485	
50855 7590 01/18/2007 UNITED STATES SURGICAL, A DIVISION OF TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP			EXAMINER		
			VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
	195 MCDERMOTT ROAD NORTH HAVEN, CT 06473		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739	3739	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/622,800	TUROVSKIY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter J. Vrettakos	3739		

	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. ⊠ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·	, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	porrosponding number of finally re	icated alaims	
· · — · · ·		jecteu ciaims.	
NOTE: No amendment to the claims. (See 37 CFF 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		· smallant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		Impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Member of the proposed of amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	_	-	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>25-78</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	$\mathcal{O} \cap$	1 1
13.  Other:		(how )	Gilyon
		BOY D/GIBSON	1
0.1		ROY D/GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMIN	IER
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			

\ V

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Office cannot obviate rejections with Applicant's arguments based upon unconfirmable opinion. "Combined references would not have a reasonable expectation of success.".